SCOTT N. SCHOOLS, SC SBN 9990 United States Attorney JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division EDWARD A. OLSEN, CSBN 214150 Assistant United States Attorney 5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6915 FAX: (415) 436-6927 7 Attorneys for Defendants 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 HAFED BETTAIBI, No. C 07-0912 SI 13 Plaintiff, 14 v. PARTIES' JOINT REQUEST TO BE ROBERT S. MULLER, III, Director, **EXEMPT FROM FORMAL ADR** Federal Bureau of Investigation; GREGORY **PROCESS** CHRISTIAN, Acting Director, Nebraska Service Center, USCIS; EMILIO GONZALEZ, Director, 17 U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS); MICHAEL CHERTOFF, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security; ALBERTO 18 GONZALES, Attorney General, Department of Justice; DAVID N. STILL, District Director, 19 USCIS; TERRY RICE, San Francisco Field **20** Office Director, USCIS, 21 Defendants. 22 23 Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," or the specified portions of the ADR 25 Unit's Internet site < www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov>, discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit 26 from any of them. Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process will not be 27 28 beneficial because this mandamus action is limited to plaintiff's request that this Court compel Parties' Joint Request for Exemption C 07-0912 SI

defendants to adjudicate the application for adjus	stment of status. Given the substance of the action
and the lack of any potential middle ground, AD	R will only serve to multiply the proceedings and
unnecessarily tax court resources. Accordingly,	pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-3(c), the parties request
the case be removed from the ADR Multi-Option	Program and that they be excused from
participating in the ADR phone conference and a	ny further formal ADR process.
Dated: May, 2007	Respectfully submitted,
	SCOTT N. 8CHOOLS United States Attorney
	Office States Attorney
	FDWARD A OLSEN
	Assistant United States Attorney Attorneys for Defendants
	retorneys for Detendants
Dated: May, 2007	sa for synature
, <u> </u>	ELÍAS ZÍ SHAMÍEH DINA M. SOKHN
	Attorneys for Plaintiff
OR	DER
Pursuant to stipulation and to ADR L. R. 3-3(c), the parties are hereby removed from the ADR	
Multi-Option Program and are excused from participating in the ADR phone conference and any	
further formal ADR process.	
SO ORDERED.	
Dated:	Duran Delaton
Duitou.	SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge
	Office States District Judge

Parties' Joint Request for Exemption C 07-0912 SI

P	_	93/83

	H	
1	defendants to adjudicate the application for adjustment of status. Given the substance of the action	
2	and the lack of any potential middle ground, ADR will only serve to multiply the proceedings and	
3	unnecessarily tax court resources. Accordingly, pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-3(c), the parties request	
4	the case be removed from the ADR Multi-Option Program and that they be excused from	
5		
ົ້	-	
7	Dated May 2007 Paspactfully submitted	
8	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS	
9	I Inited States Attorney	
10		
11	EUWAKU A. OLSEN Assistant United States Attorney	
12	Attorneys for Defendants	
1.5		
14	Dated May C 2007	
15	Attorney for Plaintiff	
16	ORDER	
17	<u>u</u>	
18		
19	further formal ADR process	
20	SO ORDERED.	
21		
أعمد		
23	Dated:	
24	United States District Judgo	
25		
26		
- 11		

Parties Inint Request for Evamption C 07-0912 SI

28|